



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/560,442  | 12/13/2005  | Masao Mizutani       | SONY JP 3.3-394             | 8879                   |
| 530 7590 05/01/2009<br>LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,<br>KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK<br>600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST<br>WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>HAYIM, SAMUEL E |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>4142            | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>05/01/2009     | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                                      |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/560,442 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MIZUTANI ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>SAMUEL HAYIM      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>4142                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) SAMUEL HAYIM.

(2) Dennis M. Smid.

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2009

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 8:00am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant filed for express abandonment on January 30th, 2008 for a search fee refund. Petition was dismissed as the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before December 8th, 2004. Applicant wants to expressly abandon the application without a fee refund.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chat C. Do/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

